

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,986	12/11/2001	Jung-Chih Chiao	A-68000/MSS	1421	
75	90 08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Flehr Hohbach Test			VANNUCCI, JAMES		
Albritton & Herbert Four Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Suite 3400			2828		
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			DATE MAIL ED. 09/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ARV	
нін	
ddress	
:	
ely. communication.	
ne merits is	
NED 4 404(4)	
CFR 1.121(d). TO-152.	
·	
l Stage	

				17		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/009,986	CHIAO, JUNG-CHIH			
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jim Vannucci	2828			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-		
THE MAILING DATE OI - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified a lift NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. In the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. The provisions of 38 cFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. The provision of the	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.		
Status			•			
2a)⊠ This action is FIN. 3)□ Since this applica	tion is in condition for allowar	uly 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		s is		
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is. 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is.	are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	equest that any objection to the ong sheet(s) including the corrections	r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Additional contents in the aution of the contents in the contents i	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 [.]			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budrow et al.(3,665,477) in view of Brankovic(6,198,460).

Claim 13, figure 4 of Budrow discloses transmission line conductors(30), rotating antenna arms(16 & 22), and rotatably coupled support arms(40).

Budrow discloses a single actuator mechanism(38; and col. 4, lines 58-60) functioning as recited.

Figure 4 of Brankovic discloses using two actuator mechanisms to adjust the direction of the radiated field of an antenna(7; and col. 5, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use two actuator mechanisms as disclosed in Brankovic instead of one as disclosed in Budrow for improved steering of the antenna's radiated field as disclosed in Budrow.

3. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budrow in view of Brankovic as applied above, and further in view of Faulkner et al.(6,023,209).

Budrow and Brankovic do not disclose CPS or CPW transmission lines.

Claims 14-15, Faulkner discloses the use of CPW and CPS transmission lines to suppress undesired electrical propagation(col. 1, lines 8-9 and 58-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the transmission lines disclosed in Faulkner with the device disclosed in Budrow and Brankovic to improve suppression of undesired signals as disclosed in Faulkner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 16-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The following limitations are primarily responsible for distinguishing these claims over the prior art.

Regarding claims 17-20, all of the limitations concerning the first micro-mechanical hinge structure; and regarding claims 16 and 21-23, all of the limitations concerning the second and third micro-mechanical hinge structure.

Proper motivation was not found in the prior art to combine references disclosing the recited hinge structure with the references that disclose the other limitations recited in these claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 200 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 13-15.
- 7. Claims 13-15 do not recite any limitations concerning micro-electrical mechanical switches. While the preamble of these claims state that the device is a MEMS reconfigurable antenna; the claim limitations do not reference any words in the preamble, nor is the preamble required to determine or interpret the meaning of any limitation in the body of the claims. Consequently, the wording in the claim preamble is not considered when determining patentability of these claims.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/009,986

Art Unit: 2828

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Vannucci whose phone number is (571) 272-1820.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

James Vannucci

Page 5